Thanks very much.

I wanted to pursue some of the same line of questioning, and I

appreciate the fact that this is difficult as a matter of constitutional

law but also difficult as a matter of policy and perception.

I hear a lot from people in Pennsylvania that have real concerns

about this policy not only on some of the constitutional debates we

are having but just in terms of the clear impression that has been

created that we are engaged in hostilities of one kind or another.

It gets very difficult for people to separate from that perception.

There are reports we know, at least according to the New York

Times, that since this handoff took place, that United States warplanes

have struck, according to this one report, 60 Libyan targets

and, at the same time, unmanned drones, according to this report,

fired at Libyan forces roughly 30 times.

So in the context of that reporting, I would ask you about this

broader question, I guess—or it is actually a more pointed question—

as it relates to the administration’s justification of armed

drone attacks and so-called nonhostile operations. How do you get

there just as a matter of law?

I will ask you some other questions by way of

supplemental written questions.

But I would ask you as well, in connection with this, are you concerned

about the precedent here as it relates to Executive power.

Do you have any concerns about that? Do you think that this is

breaking new ground?

Thank you.